



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/544,754	04/07/2000	Vijay R. Basani	020496/0004	2321
759	01/26/2005		EXAM	INER
Brian L Michaelis Esq			CHANG, JUNGWON	
Brown Rudnick	Freed & Gesmer PC			
One Financial Center			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
18th Floor			2154	
Boston, MA 02111			DATE MAILED: 01/26/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Advisory Action	09/544,754	BASANI ET AL.				
Havioory Housen	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Jungwon Chang	2154				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	rrespondence address				
THE REPLY FILED 29 November 2004 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to average final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appea Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	oid abandonment of this application application abandonment of this application abandonent which are the states and application and applications are supplied to the states are supplie	ation. A proper reply to a hplaces the application in				
PERIOD FOR RE	EPLY (check either a) or b)]					
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth later than SIX MONTHS from the mailin S FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF The date on which the petition under 37 CF of extension and the corresponding amo	g date of the final rejection. HE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP R 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension bunt of the fee. The appropriate extension				
(2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 C	ce later than three months after the mai CFR 1.704(b).	ling date of the final rejection, even if				
37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFF						
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:					
(a) they raise new issues that would require further	er consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);				
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);						
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in issues for appeal; and/or	n better form for appeal by mate	rially reducing or simplifying the				
(d) they present additional claims without canceli NOTE:	ing a corresponding number of f	inally rejected claims.				
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	tion(s):					
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	eparate, timely filed amendment				
5. ☑ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☑ request for application in condition for allowance because: Se		idered but does NOT place the				
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered bec raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which were newly				
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we						
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:						
Claim(s) allowed: 11.						
Claim(s) objected to: <u>3-5</u> .	•					
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1,2,6-10,12,14 and 16-20</u> .						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
8. ☐ The drawing correction filed on is a) ☐ approved or b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.						
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement	nt(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	·				
10. Other:	//					

JOHN FOLLANSBEE
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
ECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant's argued that the claim limitation "interval determined by strength of claim" included in each of the rejected claims is not taught or suggested by Singh or Buchanan. Examiner respectfully disagreed since Buchanan clearly discloses interval (keep alive timer times out, within the keep alive timer period) determined by strength of claim (if the leader sending a keep alive message before the timer expires, the current leader has the most strength of claim; col. 6, lines 34-36; however, if the leader fails to send a keep alive message within the timer period, the leader does not have any strength of claim and another candidates have more priority than the failed leader, then new leader is elected based on the strength of claim; col. 6, lines 37-39; col. 4, lines 42-49).